UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/557,832	08/25/2006	Milton S. Soditch	026032-4966	8083
	7590 03/19/200 LARDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500	T NIW	NELSON JR, MILTON		
3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3636	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/19/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/557,832	SODITCH ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Milton Nelson, Jr.	3636
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailinged patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Ju</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Dispositi	ion of Claims		
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ <b>Applicati</b>	Claim(s) 21-37 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 25-27 and 30-32 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 21,23,24,28,29,33 and 37 is/are rejected to.  Claim(s) 22 and 34-36 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/orion Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine	wn from consideration.  eted.  r election requirement.	
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>11/22/05</u> is/are: a) \( \subseteq \) a  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ccepted or b) objected to by th drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) <b>[</b>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
2)  Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 11/22/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Information Disclosure Statement

The information referred to in the Applicant's information disclosure statement has been considered.

## Specification

Applicant's substitute specification has been entered.

## **Preliminary Amendment**

Applicant's preliminary amendments have been entered.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 29 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 29 recites the limitation "the cable" in line 9. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Line 4 of claim 37 is grammatically vague. Note the recitation "generally horizontal and an stow position".

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 21, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamada et al (7040702). Note the seat back (11), seat base (14), leg assembly (17), leg detent (48), cable (43), and mechanism (38).

Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamada et al (7040702). Note the seat back (11), seat base (14), leg assembly (17), and cable (43).

Claim 29, as best understood with the above cited indefiniteness, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamada et al (7040702). Note the seat back (11), seat base (14), leg assembly (17), and break-away mechanism (18).

Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamada et al (7040702). Note the seat back (11), seat base (14), leg (17), and cable (43).

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 22 and 34-36 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 37 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claims 25-27 and 30-32 are allowed.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Milton Nelson, Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-6861. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs, and alternate Fridays, 5:30-3:00 EST.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/557,832 Page 5

Art Unit: 3636

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Milton Nelson, Jr./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3636

mn March 11, 2008